1	Susan E. Coleman (SBN 171832) E-mail: scoleman@bwslaw.com	FILING FEE EXEMPT PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103
2	Carmen M. Aguado (SBN 291941) E-mail: caguado@bwslaw.com	•
3	BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953	Superior Court of California
5	Tel: 213.236.0600 Fax: 213.236.2700	County of Los Angeles APR 12 2019
	Attorneys for Defendant JOSE HUIZAR	
6 7	JOSE HUIZAK	Sherri R Carter Executive Officer/Clerk By Ahithony Offiz Deputy
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF LOS AND	GELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
10		
11	MAYRA ALVAREZ,	Case No. 18STCV01722
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Richard E. Rico, Dept. 17]
13	v.	DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES CITY
14 15	JOSE HUIZAR, an individual; CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipality; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COUNCILMAN JOSE HUIZAR'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SPECIALLY SET A HEARING ON
16	Defendants.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEAL RECORDS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
17 18		[Filed concurrently with [Proposed] Order and Declaration of Carmen M. Aguado]
19		Date: April 12, 2019
20		Time: 8: 30 a.m. Dept.: 17
21		Action Filed: October 22, 2018
22		Trial Date: None Set
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	LA #4835-8005-1604 v1	

TO THE HONORABLE COURT, TO ALL PARTIES, AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 12, 2019, at 8:30 am, or as soon thereafter as may be heard in Department 17 of the above-entitled Court, located at 110 N. Hill St., Los Angeles, California, 90012, before the Honorable Richard E. Rico, Defendant Los Angeles City Councilman Jose Huizar (hereinafter "Defendant Huizar") will and hereby does apply ex parte for an order to specially set the hearing on Defendant Huizar's anticipated motion to seal, wherein Defendant Huizar will seek to file under seal a motion to stay all proceedings along with all documents concurrently filed therewith, on a date before the May 24, 2019 statutory service deadline for Defendant Huizar's motion to stay.

This Application is made pursuant to California Rules of Court 3.1200, et seq. and 3.1300(b) and California Code of Civil Procedure sections 128 and 1005(b), which authorize the Court to advance the hearing date on a motion. Good cause exists to grant this ex parte application because Defendant Huizar's constitutional rights relating to a pending criminal investigation, which is under close scrutiny by the media, and ability to defend himself in this action will be infringed if the motion to stay is not filed under seal. Additionally, in his anticipated motion to stay, Defendant Huizar intends to rely on information pertaining to the criminal investigation that is not public. Currently, the earliest date for a hearing on Defendant Huizar's anticipated motion to seal is June 24, 2019, which defense counsel reserved. However, the deadline for Defendant Huizar to serve his motion to stay is May 24, 2019, and the hearing date is June 24, 2019. Thus, Defendant Huizar will be unable to obtain a ruling on his motion to seal his motion to prior to the deadline to serve and file said motion. Accordingly, Defendant Huizar will be *irreparably harmed* if this application is not granted and the hearing on his anticipated motion to seal is not advanced to a date before May 24, 2019.

This ex parte application is based on this notice and application, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Carmen M. Aguado, the papers and records on file herein, and on any oral argument and documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing on this application. -2-

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant Huizar has not previously made an ex parte application of the same character 1 2 or for the same relief as this Application. 3 NOTICE PROVISION. Pursuant to Rules of Court, Rules 3.1203 and 3.1204, on April 11, 2019, via e-mail communication, counsel for Defendant Huizar provided notice that stated 4 5 with specificity the nature of the relief to be requested through this Application and the date, time, 6 and place for the presentation of the Application to counsel for Plaintiff Mayra Alvarez 7 ("Plaintiff"), Terrence Jones, and counsel for Defendant City of Los Angeles, Dennis Kong and 8 Kelly Welch. Plaintiff is represented by Terrence Jones, The Law Office of Terrence Jones, 6737 9 Bright Avenue, Suite B6, Whittier, California 90601, Tel.: 213-863-4490, Email: 10 terrence@jonesonlaw.com. Defendant City of Los Angeles is represented by Dennis Kong and 11 Kelly Welch, Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, 200 North Main Street, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Tel.: 213-978-8200, Email: dennis.kong@lacity.org. (See Decl. of Carmen 12 M. Aguado ("Aguado Decl.") ¶ 6.) 13 14 At the time of filing this Application, counsel for Defendant Huizar had not received 15 notification from counsel for Plaintiff or Defendant City of Los Angeles as to whether they intend to oppose the Application. (Id. at \P 7.) 16 17 Dated: April 11, 2019 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 18 19 Susan E. Coleman 20 Carmen M. Aguado Attorneys for Defendant 21 JOSE HUIZAR 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LA #4835-8005-1604 v1 - 3 -

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>INTRODUCTION/ STATEMENT OF PERTINENT FACTS.</u>

Defendant Jose Huizar ("Defendant Huizar"), an elected member of the Los Angeles City Council, respectfully submits this *ex parte* application to specially set the hearing on his anticipated motion to seal, wherein he will request to seal his motion to stay all proceedings in order protect his private information and constitutional rights, to a date *before* the May 24, 2019 statutory deadline for service of Defendant Huizar's motion to stay.

Specifically, the U.S. Attorney's Office and FBI are currently conducting an investigation that includes Defendant Huizar. (Aguado Decl. at ¶ 2.) The criminal investigation, as well as this civil litigation, has received a significant amount of media coverage and exposure. (*Id.* at ¶ 2.) Defendant Huizar intends to serve a motion to stay this matter on May 24, 2019, and the hearing on the motion to stay is set for June 24, 2019. (*Id.* at ¶ 3.) However, Defendant Huizar seeks to file the motion to stay under seal in order to protect his privacy and constitutional rights, as well as the integrity of the criminal investigation, which is implicated by virtue of the public nature of court filings in this matter. Additionally, in his anticipated motion to stay, Defendant Huizar intends to rely on information pertaining to the criminal investigation that is not public. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.) The earliest date for a hearing on Defendant Huizar's anticipated motion to seal is June 24, 2019 – *after* Defendant Huizar is statutorily required to serve his motion to stay. (*Id.* at ¶ 5.) As such, Defendant Huizar seeks an order to specially set the hearing on his motion to stay to a date before May 24, 2019.

Absent an order specially setting the hearing on Defendant Huizar's motion to seal to a date before the deadline to serve his motion to seal, Defendant Huizar will be irreparably harmed because he will be unable to protect his privacy and constitutional rights and the integrity of the criminal matter will be impinged. Accordingly, Defendant Huizar respectfully requests this Court grant his application to advance the hearing on the motion to seal.

26 ///

///

/// LA #4835-8005-1604 v1

- 4 -

II. THERE IS GOOD CAUSE TO GRANT THIS APPLICATION.

A party may file an *ex parte* application if the party complies with the necessary notice requirements and can show it will suffer irreparable harm, immediate danger or has any other statutory basis for granting ex parte relief. *See* Cal. Rules of Ct., Rule 3.1200 *et seq*.

Additionally, under Code of Civil Procedure section 128, subdivision (a)(8), every court shall have the power to "amend and control its process and orders so as to make them conform to law and justice." See also Cottle v. Superior Ct., 3 Cal.App.4th 1371, 1376 (1992). It is well established that "[s]uperior courts have inherent authority to adopt procedures needed to exercise jurisdiction as well as to manage and control their dockets," including to specially set or advance hearing dates in the interest of justice and judicial economy. People v. Perkins, 244 Cal. App. 4th 129, 138 (2016); Sole Energy Co. v. Petrominerals Corp., 128 Cal. App. 4th 187, 193 (2005) ("The principle that a trial court may consider a motion regardless of the label placed on it by a party is consistent with the courts inherent authority to manage and control its docket.")

Here, as discussed above, Defendant Huizar seeks to specially set the hearing on his anticipated motion to seal, wherein he will seek to seal his motion to stay all proceedings to protect his private information and Constitutional rights, to a date before May 24, 2019 – the statutory deadline for Defendant Huizar to serve his motion to stay. (Aguado Decl. at ¶¶ 3-5.) Currently, the earliest date to hear his motion to seal is June 24, 2019; thus, Defendant Huizar will be unable to obtain an order sealing his motion to stay in advance of his service deadline for said motion. (*Id.*) It is in the interest of law and justice, namely to protect Defendant Huizar's right to privacy and the integrity of the criminal investigation, to advance the motion to seal to a date that will allow him to obtain the necessary order before serving his motion to stay. *See Cottle*, 3 Cal.App.4th at 1376,

III. THIS EX PARTE MOTION IS APPROPRIATE BEFORE THE COURT BASED UPON CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT, RULE 3.1200 ET SEQ.

Under Rule of Court 3.1200 et seq., a party may file an *ex parte* application for an order to specially set, if the party complies with the notice requirements and can show it will suffer irreparable harm, immediate danger or any other statutory basis for granting *ex parte* relief.

1.4 #4835-8005-1604 vi

28
BURKE, WILLIAMS &
SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

	9
1:	12.
** ;	10
	Jr.
be	2
in.	
1	2
6,00	2
Į.	-il-
C	0

PROOF OF SERVICE

I. Susan Vasquez, declare:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California 90071-2953. On April 11, 2019, I served a copy of the within document(s):

DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCILMAN JOSE HUIZAR'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SPECIALLY SET A HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEAL RECORDS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND **AUTHORITIES**

		by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
	l	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a agent for delivery.
		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
×		by transmitting via my electronic service address (svasquez@bwslaw.com) the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.

(Service List attached)

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 11, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.

Susan Vasquez

LA #4835-8005-1604 v1

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

-8-